Atty. Docket No. 002187 USA/C03/PDC/WF/OR
PATENT APPLICATION

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111 U.S. Application No. 09/765,995

<u>REMARKS</u>

Applicants thank the Examiner for acknowledging their claim to benefit under 35 U.S.C.

§120.

Applicants also thank the Examiner for acknowledging acceptance of the drawings filed

on June 25, 2002.

Claims 96-105 are all the claims pending in the application.

The Examiner has objected to the specification, based on one of the Preliminary

Amendments which Applicants filed. Upon a review of the file, Applicants note that they

inadvertently had based the "Preliminary Amendment B" to which the Examiner refers on the

originally-filed specification, rather than on the substitute specification. The foregoing

specification amendments correct this oversight.

The Examiner has objected to the title of the invention. The foregoing change is believed

to make the title more descriptive.

Claim 104 stands rejected under U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for insufficient

antecedent basis. The foregoing amendment to claim 104 corrects the antecedence problem.

Claims 96, 100, 101, 104 and 105 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being

anticipated by Ohtombe et al (USP 4,764,969). Claim 97 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtombe. Claim 98 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

as being unpatentable over Ohtombe in view of Maeda et al. (USP 4,791,586). Claims 99 and

102 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtombe in view of

- 6 -

Atty. Docket No. 002187 USA/C03/PDC/WF/OR

PATENT APPLICATION

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

U.S. Application No. 09/765,995

Maeda, and further in view of Sandland (USP 4,618,938). Claim 103 stands rejected under 35

U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtombe in view of Sandland. Applicants

respectfully traverse this rejection, pursuant to a telephone interview with the Examiner on

October 28, 2002, and request reconsideration and allowance of the claims pursuant to the

following discussion.

In reviewing the Examiner's rejection, Applicants noticed that both independent claim 96

of the present application and claim 6 (application claim 128) of USP 6,178,257, parent of the

present application, contain similar recitations. The Examiner of the '257 patent had rejected

application claim 128 based on the Ohtombe reference on which the Examiner relied here.

Applicants traversed that prior art rejection in the '257 patent prosecution successfully, and

requested an interview with the Examiner in charge of the present application to point this out.

During a telephone interview with the Examiner on October 28, 2002, as reflected in the

Examiner Interview Summary Record, Applicant and the Examiner reached agreement on this

point. Accordingly, Applicants are filing a Terminal Disclaimer concurrently with this response,

and thus believe that they have done what is necessary to place this application in condition for

allowance.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

- 7 -

Atty. Docket No. 002187 USA/C03/PDC/WF/OR PATENT APPLICATION

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111 U.S. Application No. 09/765,995

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 1010 El Camino Real, Suite 300 Menlo Park, CA 94025

Tel: Fax: (650) 325-5800 (650) 325-6606

Date: December 11, 2002

Registration No. 31,484

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

> Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 2327 Arlington, VA 22202

Date:

December 11, 2002

Thea K. Wagner Shu V. Wagn

Atty. Docket No. 002187 USA/C03/PDC/WF/OR PATENT APPLICATION

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111 U.S. Application No. 09/765,995

<u>APPENDIX</u>

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

IN THE TITLE:

Please amend the title to read as follows:

OPTICAL INSPECTION APPARATUS FOR SUBSTRATE DEFECT DETECTION

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Please delete the paragraph bridging pages 2-3, and replace it with the following new paragraph:

According to one aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of inspecting the surface of [articles] an article for defects[, comprising] by: [placing the article to be inspected on a table; in a first phase,] optically examining, in a first phase examination, the complete surface of the article [on the table at a relatively high speed and with a relatively low spatial resolution;] and electrically outputting information indicating [suspected] locations on the article suspected of having [a high probability of a defect] defects; storing the [outputted information] suspected locations in a storage device; and, in a second phase examination, [while the article is still on the table,] optically examining with [a relatively] high [spatial] resolution only the suspected locations of the article's surface for determining the presence of or absence of a defect in the suspected locations; characterized in that the first phase examination is effected by optically scanning the complete surface of the article at a high speed with an optical beam of small diameter. Thus, by selecting the diameter of the optical beam used in the first phase

Atty. Docket No. 002187 USA/C03/PDC/WF/OR

PATENT APPLICATION

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

U.S. Application No. 09/765,995

examination, the first phase examination may be made at any desired resolution, as compared to

the second phase examination, according to the particular application [stored in the storage

device for the presence or absence of a defect in the suspected locations].

Page 3, please delete the first full paragraph, and replace it with the following new

paragraph:

According to further features of the invention, the first examining phase is effected by

optically scanning the complete article surface to be inspected with a laser beam of small

diameter; and the second examining phase is automatically effected immediately after the first

phase by imaging only the suspected locations on [a] an image converter which converts the

images to electrical signals and then analyzes the electrical signals.

Page 3, please delete the second full paragraph, and replace it with the following

new paragraph:

According to still further features in preferred embodiments of the invention described

below, the surface of the article to be inspected includes a pattern, e.g., a patterned wafer used

for producing a plurality of integrated-circuit dies or chips. The first [examining] examination

phase is effected by making a comparison between the inspected pattern and another pattern,

serving as a reference pattern, to identify locations on the inspected pattern wherein there are

sufficient differences with respect to the reference pattern to indicate a high probability of a

defect in the inspected pattern. The second [examining] examination phase is also effected by

- 10 -

Atty. Docket No. 002187 USA/C03/PDC/WF/OR PATENT APPLICATION

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

U.S. Application No. 09/765,995

making a comparison between the inspected pattern and the reference pattern, to identify

locations on the inspected pattern wherein the comparison shows sufficient differences with

respect to the reference pattern to indicate the presence of a defect in the suspected location of

the inspected pattern.

Page 4, please delete the first full paragraph, and replace it with the following new

paragraph:

It will thus be seen that the novel method of the present invention primarily monitors

changes in the defect density while maintaining a high throughput with a relatively low false

alarm rate. Thus, the first examination is done at a relatively high speed and with a relatively

low spatial resolution such as with a laser beam of small diameter to indicate only suspected

locations having a high probability of a defect; and the second examination is done with a

relatively high spatial resolution but only with respect to the suspected locations having a high

probability of a defect. The sensitivity of the two phases may be adjusted according to the

requirements for any particular application. Thus, where the application involves a relatively

low number of defects, the sensitivity of the first examination phase may be increased by using a

very small diameter laser beam to detect very small defects at a high speed but at the expense of

an increased false alarm rate. However, since only relatively few suspected locations are

examined in the second phase, the overall inspection can be effected relatively quickly to enable

the fabrication personnel to identify defects caused by any process or equipment, and to

immediately correct the cause for such defects.

- 11 -

Atty. Docket No. 002187 USA/C03/PDC/WF/OR

PATENT APPLICATION

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111 U.S. Application No. 09/765,995

Page 4, please delete the second full paragraph, and replace it with the following

new paragraph:

According to a further feature of the invention, the first examining phase is effected by

generating a first flow of N different streams of data representing the pixels of different [images]

views of the inspected pattern unit; generating a second flow of N different streams of data

representing the pixels of different [images] views of the reference [pattern unit]; and comparing

the data of the first flow with the data of the second flow to provide an indication of the

suspected locations of the inspected [pattern unit] surface of the article having a high probability

of a defect.

IN THE CLAIMS:

The claims are amended as follows:

104. (Amended) The apparatus of claim 96, further comprising an image processor receiving an

output of said [image sensor] second collection optics and outputting said images.

- 12 -